

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

JLP:ddi

Docket No: 6193-00 21 November 2000





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO memorandum 5420 N130D1/0U0593 of 3 November 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

5420 N130D1/000593 3 Nov 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE OF PETTY OFFICER

Encl: (1) BCNR case file #06193-00 with microfiche service record

- 1. Following provides comment and recommendation on Petty Officer petition.
- 2. N130 recommends deny Petty Office petition for an Enlistment Bonus (EB) in the amount of \$8,000.
- 3. Petty Officer entered the Delayed Entry Program (DEP) on 26 June 1998 and signed an EB contract in the amount of \$3,000 for enlisting in the Advanced Electronics Computer Field (AECF-AEF) program. On 05 November 1998 Petty Officer was reclassified and signed another Annex B to his enlistment contract for the Nuclear Field (NF) program and an EB in the amount of \$8,000. On 23 February 1999 Petty Officer signed another Annex B to his enlistment contract for the NF program with a \$3,250 EB. In accordance with BUPERS message 271147ZMAR98, (message in effect at the time Petty Officer entered the DEP), the NF Program was eligible for an EB of \$3,250 for the period Petty Officer was reporting to active duty. In his petition, Petty Officer requests favorable action that will allow payment of the EB in the amount of \$8,000.
- 4. EB eligible ratings and award levels are announced by OPNAV (GENADMIN) messages. The message in effect the day a member enters the DEP and the day the member ships to active duty, determines the amount of EB a member is eligible to receive. The Navy classifier erroneously offered a \$8,000 EB award level (not authorized by the EB message in effect at time he entered the DEP) on his Enlistment Guarantees Contract. The most recent Enlistment Guarantees section of Petty Officer enlistment contract correctly states an EB of \$3,250. Upon completion of training at the Nuclear Power Training Unit (NPTU), Charleston, South Carolina, Petty Officer will receive \$3,250. EB is only paid upon completion of all initial training and designation in the skill for which a member enlisted. No further action necessary.
- 5. BCNR case file with microfiche serwice record is returned herewith as enclosure (1).

Subj: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION IN THE CASE OF PETTY OFFICER



Assistant, Enlisted Bonus Programs Branch